CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

			A ST AND THE SECOND STATE OF S	
12-ORA-County Orange DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	N/A P.M./P.M.	N/A E.A/Project No.	BRLO 5955(087) Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project	t No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:	(Briefly describe	project including need,	purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, ar	
activities involved in this box. Use	Continuation She	eet, if necessary.)		
Canyon Road in an unincorporated safety conditions for vehicular trafficoncrete bridge and the removal of to accommodate a sidewalk on eith	I community of S ic and provide pe if the existing brid ner side. To prov	ilverado, Orange County destrian access. The br lge. The proposed bridgi ide continued access du	e Ladd Canyon Bridge (Bridge# 55C0175) along Silver, California. The purpose of the project is to improve to dge replacement will involve the construction of a prece would require an expansion from the existing bridge ring project construction, only one lane will be closed age is located within the County of Orange right-of-way	the cast width at a
CALTRANS CEQA DETER	MINATION (C	Check one)		
Not Applicable – Caltrans is		Enviro	ot Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Stu nmental Impact Report under CEQA	ıdy or
Based on an examination of this pr Exempt by Statute. (PRC 210)		= -	bove statements, the project is:	
Categorically Exempt. Class	ALL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON O	1084; 14 CCR 15300 et	sea)	
Based on an examination of the apply:	nis proposal and	supporting information,	he following statements are true and exceptions do no	
concern where designat	ted, precisely ma	pped, and officially adop	impact an environmental resource of hazardous or croted pursuant to law. d successive projects of the same type in the same pl	
over time.				lace,
circumstances.			ignificant effect on the environment due to unusual	
			ly designated state scenic highway. pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").	
			significance of a historical resource.	
Common Sense Exemption. possibility that the activity may	This project doe have a significa	es not fall within an exen nt effect on the environn	upt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is nent (14 CCR 15061[b][3].)	no
N/A	Z. OSECZITIKO S ECZORO	N/A	00.0 C 92.00 709.0000	
Print Name: Senior Environmental Pl	anner or	Print Na	me: Project Manager	
Print Name: Senior Environmental Pl Environmental Branch Chief	lanner or	Print Na	me: Project Manager	
	anner or	Print Na	me: Project Manager	
Environmental Branch Chief Signature		Print Na Date Signatu		
Environmental Branch Chief		-		_
Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117	1	Date Signatu		
Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project:	7, and based on	Date Signatu an examination of this p	roposal and supporting information, the State has	
Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative	7, and based on ely have a signi	Date Signatural signatura signatural signatural signatu	roposal and supporting information, the State has	ne
Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative	7, and based on vely have a signitronmental Asses	Date Signatural Signatura Signatura Signatura Signatura Signatura Signatura S	roposal and supporting information, the State has	ne
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.112 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the considered unusual circumstance.	7, and based on vely have a signif conmental Asses tances pursuant	Date Signatural Signat	roposal and supporting information, the State has	ne
Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the considered unusual circumst CALTRANS NEPA DETERIOR.	7, and based on vely have a signifronmental Assestances pursuant	an examination of this pricant impact on the environment (EA) or Environment to 23 CFR 771.117(b).	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and	,
Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environments to prepare an Environment of the considered unusual circumstrates CALTRANS NEPA DETERITION 23 USC 326: The State has determined the considered unusual circumstrates and considered unusual circumstrates and cons	7, and based on vely have a signiferonmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific	re Date roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA,	and
Environmental Branch Chief Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the considered unusual circumst CALTRANS NEPA DETERI 23 USC 326: The State has determined the requirements to prepare are the requirements to prepare are	7, and based on vely have a signifronmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the thimstances as deen EA or EIS under	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 or the National Environm	re Date roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he	and rom ereby
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the considered unusual circumst CALTRANS NEPA DETERIOR 23 USC 326: The State has determined the requirements to prepare are certifies that it has carried out the requirements to prepare of the considered unusual circumstrates and the considered unusual circumstrates are no unusual circumstrates.	7, and based on vely have a signifronmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the imstances as dein EA or EIS under the responsibility	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 or the National Environm or to make this determinal	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States C	and rom ereby
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the considered unusual circumstance of th	7, and based on vely have a signifronmental Assestances pursuant VINATION (etermined that thumstances as detented the responsibility am of Understand	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 or the National Environm to make this determinating dated May 31, 2016	re Date roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he	and rom ereby
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the second considered unusual circumstrians. CALTRANS NEPA DETERI 23 USC 326: The State has determined that the second considered unusual circumstrians.	7, and based on vely have a signifronmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the imstances as dein EA or EIS undefined the responsibility am of Understance it is a Categorica	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 or the National Environm to make this determinating dated May 31, 2016	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States C	and rom ereby
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the considered unusual circumstance of th	7, and based on vely have a signiferonmental Assestances pursuant MINATION (etermined that the instances as deen EA or EIS under the responsibility arm of Understance is a Categorica tivity (c)(_28)	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 or the National Environm to make this determinating dated May 31, 2016	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States C	and rom ereby
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the considered unusual circumstrial CALTRANS NEPA DETERIOR 23 USC 326: The State has determined that there are no unusual circumstrial there are no unusual circumstrial than the requirements to prepare are certifies that it has carried out to Section 326 and a Memorandula has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): active section 326 and 325 active section 326 act	7, and based on vely have a signifronmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the instances as denoted by the responsibility am of Understance is a Categorica tivity (c)(_28_) tivity (d)()	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 er the National Environm of to make this determinated ding dated May 31, 2016 Il Exclusion under:	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Co., executed between the FHWA and the State. The States are proposed in the state of the state	and rom ereby
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environments to prepare an Environments to prepare an Environments to prepare an Environments to prepare and that there are no unusual circumstrate that there are no unusual circumstrate that there are no unusual circumstrate that the requirements to prepare and certifies that it has carried out and Section 326 and a Memorandum has determined that the project 23 CFR 771.117(c): according a CFR 771.117(d): according a CFR 771.117(7, and based on vely have a signiferonmental Assestances pursuant MINATION (etermined that the instances as denoted by the responsibility am of Understance is a Categorica tivity (c)(_28_) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the instance of this instance of this	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 er the National Environm of to make this determinated ding dated May 31, 2016 Il Exclusion under: he MOU between FHW proposal and supporting	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the ental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Co., executed between the FHWA and the State. The State A and the State information, the State has determined that the project	and rom ereby code, ate
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the second considered unusual circumstriction. • Activity listed in Activity listed in Activity listed in Activity in accordance in the second considered unusual circumstriction.	7, and based on vely have a signiferonmental Assestances pursuant MINATION (etermined that the instances as denoted by the responsibility am of Understance is a Categorical tivity (c)(_28_) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the instance of this B USC 327. The	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 er the National Environm of to make this determinated ding dated May 31, 2016 Il Exclusion under: The MOU between FHW proposal and supporting environmental review, of	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the ental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Co., executed between the FHWA and the State. The State Information, the State has determined that the project onsultation, and any other actions required by applica	and rom ereby code, atte
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the second considered unusual circumster of the second considered considered unusual circumster of the second considered unusual circumster of the second considered considered unusual circumster of the second considered cons	7, and based on vely have a signiferonmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the stances as deen EA or EIS under the responsibility arm of Understance it is a Categorical tivity (c)(_28) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the stance of this a USC 327. The stance of this project are	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 er the National Environm of to make this determinated ding dated May 31, 2016 Il Exclusion under: he MOU between FHW proposal and supporting environmental review, of being, or have been, can	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Co., executed between the FHWA and the State. The State Information, the State has determined that the project onsultation, and any other actions required by applicatived out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the	and rom ereby code, atte
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the second considered unusual circumstriction. • Activity listed in Activity listed in Activity listed in Activity in accordance in the second considered unusual circumstriction.	7, and based on vely have a signiferonmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the stances as deen EA or EIS under the responsibility arm of Understance it is a Categorical tivity (c)(_28) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the stance of this a USC 327. The stance of this project are	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 er the National Environm of to make this determinated ding dated May 31, 2016 Il Exclusion under: he MOU between FHW proposal and supporting environmental review, of being, or have been, can	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Co., executed between the FHWA and the State. The State Information, the State has determined that the project onsultation, and any other actions required by applicatived out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the	and rom ereby code, atte
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the state has determined that there are no unusual circumstorate the requirements to prepare an extinct the requirements to prepare an extification 326 and a Memorand certifies that it has carried out a Section 326 and a Memorand chas determined that the project in 23 CFR 771.117(c): according according to the state of the st	7, and based on vely have a significant ronmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the mestances as deen EA or EIS under the responsibility am of Understand tivity (c)(_28) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the standard of the s	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 or to make this determinate of the National Environm or to make this determinate of the MOU between FHW proposal and supporting environmental review, or being, or have been, cal er 23, 2016 and execute	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Co., executed between the FHWA and the State. The State Information, the State has determined that the project onsultation, and any other actions required by applicatived out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the	and rom ereby code, atte
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Envirous has considered unusual circumstop that there are no unusual circumstop that there are no unusual circumstop that there are no unusual circumstop that the requirements to prepare are certifies that it has carried out the section 326 and a Memoranduhas determined that the projection 326 and a Memoranduham determine	7, and based on vely have a significant ronmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the mestances as deen EA or EIS under the responsibility am of Understand tivity (c)(_28) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the standard of the s	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 or to make this determinate of the National Environm or to make this determinate of the MOU between FHW proposal and supporting environmental review, or being, or have been, cal er 23, 2016 and execute	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Co., executed between the FHWA and the State. The State information, the State has determined that the project onsultation, and any other actions required by applicative out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the double the project of the state of	and rom ereby code, atte
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the state has determined that there are no unusual circumstorate the requirements to prepare an extinct the requirements to prepare an extification 326 and a Memorand certifies that it has carried out a Section 326 and a Memorand chas determined that the project in 23 CFR 771.117(c): according according to the state of the st	7, and based on vely have a significant ronmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the mestances as deen EA or EIS under the responsibility am of Understand tivity (c)(_28) tivity (d)() Appendix A of the standard of the s	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 or to make this determinate of the National Environm or to make this determinate of the MOU between FHW proposal and supporting environmental review, or being, or have been, cal er 23, 2016 and execute	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Co., executed between the FHWA and the State. The State information, the State has determined that the project onsultation, and any other actions required by applicative out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the double the project of the state of	and rom ereby code, atte
Signature NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117 determined that this project: • does not individually or cumulative requirements to prepare an Environment of the state has determined that there are no unusual circumstorate the requirements to prepare an extra the project of the state of the section 326 and a Memorandum and that the project of the state of the section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding the section of	7, and based on vely have a significant ronmental Assestances pursuant WINATION (etermined that the metances as deen EA or EIS under the responsibility am of Understand tis a Categorical tivity (d)() Appendix A of the mination of this 3 USC 327. The of this project are g dated Decembrance or SA CO	an examination of this p ficant impact on the envi sment (EA) or Environm to 23 CFR 771.117(b). Check one) his project has no signific scribed in 23 CFR 771.1 or to make this determinate of the National Environm or to make this determinate of the MOU between FHW proposal and supporting environmental review, or being, or have been, cal er 23, 2016 and execute	roposal and supporting information, the State has ronment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the ental Impact Statement (EIS), and ant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, 17(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded frental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and he ion pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Co., executed between the FHWA and the State. The State information, the State has determined that the project onsultation, and any other actions required by applica ried out by Caltrans pursuant to 23 USC 327 and the d by FHWA and Caltrans. The Transmitted Project Manager/DLA Engineer	and rom ereby code, atte

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

Continued from page 1:

The project would not require a construction easement. The project would require the construction of new footings. To minimize the excavation footprint, cast-in-drill-hole pile footings are proposed. A water line exists along the side of the existing bridge; therefore, utility connections and relocations are anticipated as part of the project. Additionally, an electrical line and a fire hydrant may be relocated. Construction of the bridge would take approximately 6 months. Construction staging would occur within the road shoulder or in adjacent disturbed or developed areas along Silverado Canyon Road. No disposal or borrow sites are proposed.

The project will include, as applicable, the following standardized features that are included as part of the project description (http://www.dot.ca.gov/des/oe/construction-contract-standards.html). Standardized features (such as Best Management Practices [BMPs]) are those features that are generally applied to most or all Department projects. These standardized or pre-existing features allow little discretion regarding their implementation and are not specific to the circumstances of a particular project.

In addition to the measures given in the Caltrans Standard Specifications, the following measures will be implemented as project elements to the proposed project:

<u>Biology</u>

- BIO 1 Orange County Public Works (OCPW) shall comply with all measures and/or conditions described in the United States Fish and Wildlife Biological Opinion dated February 23, 2018.
- BIO 2 OCPW in coordination with Caltrans shall conduct comprehensive arroyo toad surveys within suitable breeding habitat along Silverado and Santiago Creeks prior to project construction. These surveys will be conducted consistent with a survey methodology mutually agreed to by OCPW, Caltrans, and the USFWS. The survey methodology will identify the locations where focused surveys will be conducted and the conditions under which surveys will be conducted (e.g., in an above-average rainfall year under appropriate climatic conditions). If the comprehensive surveys are positive, OCPW and Caltrans must coordinate with USFWS to determine if it is necessary to reinitiate consultation to address additional effects to arroyo toad.
- BIO 3 To avoid any direct impacts to raptors and/or any native/migratory birds, removal of habitat that supports active nests in the BSA should occur outside of the breeding season for these species (February 15 to September 1), where feasible. If removal of habitat in the proposed area of disturbance must occur during the breeding season, a qualified biologist shall conduct a preconstruction survey to determine the presence or absence of nesting birds in the proposed area of disturbance. The pre-construction survey shall be conducted within three calendar days prior to the start of construction activities (including removal of vegetation). If nesting birds are detected, a letter report or nesting bird protection and management plan shall be prepared in conformance with applicable state and federal law (i.e., appropriate follow up surveys, monitoring schedules, construction and noise barriers/buffers, etc.) and shall include proposed measures to be implemented to ensure that take of birds or eggs or disturbance of breeding activities is avoided. The report or nesting bird protection and management plan shall be submitted to Caltrans and CDFW for review and approval and implemented to the satisfaction of Caltrans and CDFW. The Biologist in concert with OCPW and Caltrans shall verify and approve that all measures identified in the report or nesting bird protection and management plan are in place prior to and/or during construction. If nesting birds are not detected during the pre-construction survey, no further mitigation is required.
- BIO 4 Direct impacts to non-wetland waters of the U.S. subject to regulation by the USACE, RWQCB, and CDFW, including permanent impacts to 0.002 acre and temporary impacts to 0.02 acre of ephemeral stream channel, will occur due to project implementation. Mitigation for permanent impacts to 0.002 acre of ephemeral stream channel within designated critical habitat for arroyo toad will be offset through the establishment/creation of ephemeral stream channel at a 1:1 ratio within the Santa Ana River watershed. Habitat restoration and erosion control treatments will be installed within temporary disturbance areas. Habitat restoration will feature native species that are typical of the area, and erosion control features will include silt fence and straw fiber rolls, where appropriate. The temporary impact revegetation areas will be monitored and maintained for 25 months to ensure adequate establishment and sustainability of the plantings/seedings. Mitigation for temporary impacts to 0.02 acre of ephemeral stream channel will include restoring temporarily impacted areas in place to preconstruction contours and conditions following construction.
- BIO 5 State and federal law regulates impacts to non-wetland waters of the U.S. OCPW will be required to obtain a Section 404 Nationwide Permit from the USACE, Section 401 Water Quality Certification from the RWQCB, and a Section 1602 Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement from CDFW prior to construction.

Hazardous Waste

- HW 1 During construction, the construction contractor will remove and either reuse or properly dispose of soils, including requiring special handling, treatment, and/or disposal of aerially deposited lead consistent with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) lead variance from the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC).
- HW 2 During the design phase, the yellow traffic striping and pavement marking materials will be tested for lead and lead chromate. If hazardous materials are discovered, the construction contractor will remove and properly dispose of any materials in accordance with Caltrans Construction Manual, Chapter 7, Section 7-106, Environmental Hazards and Safety Procedures.
- HW 3 Qualified professionals will initiate and complete an asbestos-containing materials (ACM) survey prior to completion of the PS&E phase. The survey should be conducted in conformance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations regulation, and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 1403. Additionally, notification of the SCAQMD prior to any structure renovation or demolition is mandatory according to Rule 1403 (d)(1)(B).
- HW 4 –Qualified professionals will initiate a Lead Based Paint (LBP) survey during the PS&E phase. The LBP study will be conducted by trained and/or licensed professionals and will comply with the EPA, Housing and Urban Development, and California Department of Public Health guidelines.

Page 2 of 3 January 3, 2019

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Continuation Sheet

12-ORA-County Orange	N/A	N/A	BRLO 5955(087)
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency)	P.M./P.M.	E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.

Water Quality

- WQ 1 The County shall comply with the provisions of the Statewide NPDES General Construction Activity Permit and any subsequent permit or individual permit if required by the Santa Ana RWQCB as it relates to construction activities for the proposed project.
- WQ 2 Implement appropriate BMPs to minimize pollutants of concern.
- WQ 3 A completed notification package shall be submitted and associated fees paid to the CDFW regional office. This shall include coordination with the CDFW with respect to drafting and accepting the Section 1602 Streambed Alteration Agreement for construction activities that are anticipated to occur within the Ladd Creek.
- WQ 4 A permit from the USACE for construction activities in a floodplain. This shall include coordination with the USACE with respect to the submittal of the permit application and supplemental information required for permit processing.
- WQ 5 A consultation with USFWS shall occur with respect to drafting and accepting an incidental take statement related to the designated critical habitat for the federally-listed endangered arroyo toad and construction activities that are anticipated to occur within Ladd Creek area.
- WQ 6 The County shall obtain a 401 Certification from the Santa Ana RWQCB for activities that could potentially discharge materials into Ladd Creek. This shall include coordination with the Santa Ana RWQCB with respect to the submittal of the permit application and supplemental information required for certification.

Hydraulics

HYD 1 – Due to the increase in flow velocity extending from the upstream face of the bridge to 200 feet upstream of the bridge. The increase in flow velocity would be expected to result in an increase in scour potential. Scour mitigation measures will require armoring of the creek invert and or installation of grade control measures. Armoring of the creek invert in the vicinity of the bridge with rock riprap is consistent with the existing creek invert.

List of Technical Studies

Historic Property Survey Report (May 2, 2019) Technical Noise Memo (July 21, 2017) Location Hydraulics Study (July 2017) Water Quality Assessment Report (June 2017) Phase 1 Initial Site Assessment (April 20, 2017) Natural Environment Study (April 2017) Biological Opinion (February 23, 2018)

Page 3 of 3 January 3, 2019